

I

Zuleh: no. understands a & pro rels.

Vice Chairman:

E. Germany: joint consultation. prepared:

1.) an working group

2. should elect 2 Co-chairmen.
My name not be member of a bureau

3. informal meeting

4. Co-chairmen should report to former meeting.
in written form

5.

Form for a. clear enough to position of 77:

Chair: 3 point:

De: Plenary group

Her: open group

Agree: at least one former meeting - every Friday
to receive reports of working group

I

-2-

Remarks ① deep drums & ex-er 'acc.

② the structure - in authority.

③ open and sincere discussions & have a peer
comparative

~~4th.~~

Proposals accepted.

CO = chairman:

(a) directly by the Authority.

(b) by the Association of the Authority.

(c) by Enterprises concerned. Checked by the Authority in
accordance with the provisions of Reg. ...

For the course:

1. Vehicles and machinery
2. Exploitation system



Committee should always meet on formal basis.

has set up working group to deal with the
the topics

77 L meet frequently and at length.

Venkatesh: feel a bit of EEC, but some progress
has made during last year.

Working item: Method of European
financing.

Composition and decision making
of Council;

dispute settlement and reports to
Part I.

France: agrees about formal meeting.
responsibility that comes goes

East Germany

SPK is very poor basis for future negotiations

not majority of Conference

one negotiable group

big political ones must be resolved
by consensus.

Technically will fall into place.

Every article has to be reviewed

Madagascar: 1 working group

WPA: Committee really has debated all important
issues, but there is still a wide disagreement
a solution. SPT is attempt at compromise
between irreconcilable positions on critical issues.
There has been negotiation. But it has not been
successful.

Do not repeat the consultation past procedure.

Let's invent procedure that will work.

As much spent than time as undiscussed
matters. Time has to be allocated carefully

Aug. 24

Submission:

don't dismantle workshop. you know,
we'll follow x

Norman: Composite - group of - important, since
it is open-ended + that if we / - ✓

3 - friends, but they are not. Bulgaria

LA: C 77, independent projects,

and countries - medium position + word

group - defining group - precisely

x - w x

USA: Support Mexico. Don't want to delete negotiations x

The issue of Art. 22 are very simple x he can

negotiate on the basis of any paper if it negotiates

are encouraged, then will be a piece of paper

anyway x settlement of art. 22 will have a great deal
of neutrality + but it will have moral of Committee x

Mexico: defend living socialist capitalism

when we can explain, when we can't.

Trinidad!

Small group should not reply of workshop

Aug 29

P.H.

test of depth pump

neg 1 system - explosion

22' 23' rel

last probe result was a gas 1

Current of a workshop.

Ad Hoc neg. group

all issues, all members.

2 Chemist chair

members open - all neg.

meeting announced - journal

after one to members - reports

Group we were informed our agency
The land: market - a claimer \ strengthened.

24 August I Workshop.

Procedure

T₁-T₂: make up other alternatives

bring forward: this is a check x

we have to consolidate x

we have all important support for 77

prepared -- outline of 77. a basis

for negotiating documents x

lets not give any status to it,

but use it as basis: let other nations submit

Concrete amendments x If agreement could

arrange that we industrialized nations not have

difficulty, we could a 11 mechanism for
not each issue x

Knott:

The method of work: continue x Workshop

But it trouble is that we have 3 texts x

industrialized state - divides. T₁-T₂ was

right & has prepared x

Other method: Pinto's x it's very
permanent, but I have doubts. RSWT is no

Aug 24 - 2 -

good example x
open nepotism

Mexico: small nepotism group, & cooperate w/ chairman x
open to others

Nigeria: support Mexico: 20 delegates and chairman; they
something out of working 1 card C 10 77, 10
instructions.

Kenya: It seemed I was we were going back taking
Caracas x. Alternatives and financial details x
Smaller, more agile group. needed x
Let's make regional group of delegate representatives
you select them. The house is poor. Anybody
else may come and talk x

Ukraine: I like socialist, at an socially ready force -
Ukraine, and Capitalism is really primitive

Peru: need group meeting

U.K.: every one agrees we need new procedures, now

Aug 24 3

informal x what text the text & basis is left to them:
per text

Timmer's: Chairman should L active role & per agreement
text 1 77 doc x of a recent shape we - C w

P \ ' ' negotiably & combine for T Mexico
proposals.

Guatemala: Next - Europe ° advantages x expenditure

P \ ' - four x

But what does in the meantime? we
could think of some supplementary tasks x

Guatemala:

France: Agree with H.K. we are for agreement & details
should negotiate properly, not very active role of Chairman,
open to all x agreement, not a strictly 1 regional
propos

Bulgaria: welcome Mexico proposal x But compromise difficult
there are three trans

3

(a) A line delineated in accordance with paragraph 4 by reference to the outermost fixed points of each of which the thickness of sedimentary rocks is at least 1% of the shortest distance from said points to the foot of the continental slope; or

(b) a line delineated in accordance with para. 4 by reference to fixed points not more than 60 nautical miles from the foot of the continental slope.

4. The outer shelf shall delineate the seaward boundary of the continental shelf where the shelf extends beyond 200 nautical miles from the baseline... by a straight line not exceeding 60 nautical miles in length, connecting fixed points, said points to be defined by coordinates of latitude and longitude.

art. 22: Norwegian suggestion we just feed. we 'd
be busy.

we 're too polarized, we can't appear and
serve like Nor.

we must think how to intervene please.

Mexico

I:

Peru: promoter to select a order of topics.
definite calendar: we must maintain flexibility
Mandate is very broad: all subjects must
be negotiable. Five topics to elaborate:
the Assembly and the Council. - How
not to include any composition, last
decision-making, power and funds.
The manner & which way. Can be dealt
with within preexisting other work

Second: System of exploitation
[have under, art. 22.]

We are - four, five of Assembly
and Council.

We hope to have opportunities to
meet frequently in order to talk to
fellow negotiators. We are to keep many
Council meetings outside, so have to meet
alternatively in workshop: 50%.

USA: our views at variance. Hope there will be
in length debate on this.

Let's not necessarily predict layout. If we
 fear as there the subject, we may not see far beyond.
 We should today accept a whole list of issues:
 issues to consider a detail of issues,
 "which require special attention."

Another aspect; sometimes forgotten: question
 of art. 9 and economic implications of exploitation
 the subject. the negative relationship to total
 growth of ^{working} exploitation - as Chambers not point out

System of exploitation cannot be different
 from Enterprise - and if there is one: but
 it should function.

We cannot be willing to accept general
 heady "system of Exploitation": but
 not special attention to it in the 1st instance,
 because: Assembly -- budget, dec. power -
 funds - Council were already debated.
 Let better session - at least some. Therefore.
 We cannot but consider a Council, not Assembly,
 Third item: finance of Authority

disbursement of revenues.

Finally: system of settlement of dispute. This would make sense to us.

Next: we feel that system of exploration should be addressed first. we've heard about that, but it still needs discussion. If we don't settle this we can't go on.

At 1 meeting of 77; we should not never to produce that half 11 meetings are there. Does not lead to cross-fertilization, etc. we object to the amount of time taken for working. In intergroup negotiations, $\frac{2}{3}$ of time should inter groups, $\frac{1}{3}$ intra.

H. K. (for EEC)

Committee
to study a European
financial arrangement

Settlement of this problem
we want quick discussion. We agree with Ann's,

to agreement: look - future, not past, but
 must look at modern - method - exploration.
 whole spectrum: we are flexible and repeat
 segments, arguments cancel each other out.
 when you put forward new ideas & suggest.
 ?? pragmatic. much more consideration.

hrrp

to be already expressed \rightarrow o, ^, ~
 suggests 1 6 3 x 1/2 1, J- 'a
 amount flexibility o, ^ x 7 1
 appears 2 - 2' / long path -
 other objectives keep us 3 'ancient track
 c even path, em. longer, short c' & step.
 o c 2? we L blue c p.
 2 x end of advantages - disadvantages.

v also do not pretend - J. empty
 pretending \ 1 \ x / - equal
 here x 1 never / put before,
 suggest L o 2 x L v could
 as well as context - 2 - 5 3,

-6-

first: then Coordinate " 2 -
✓ 2 2 - - - - - particular: plenary:
growth - disput settlements. They cannot go
ahead without money... Should we not start on
Schedule - start d.s. - ✓ ✓ I 2 ✓ Schedule
of Tribunal?

Schedule of 77: ✓ L' request too:
we should not have the plenary works
on d.s.s. & unfortunately some defec-
tion numerous than others. But money are
short of funds, and cannot be -
both place! Family groups should not
meet the official groups as meetings: strictly.
It may be a not do more into but into.
& we can General Committee. to meet
organize accordingly.

Let
Chair

we've got more of general, political,
and more of specific technical nature.
Political issues must be solved first.

Let start with foundation - power of
Authority, relations with Council; and art.
22. This has been on Declaration
of Principles. From these questions have
been discussed. Suppose 77. Let's not
divert attention - technical questions.
This is procedure, but it is clearly relevant
& substance.

Text needs major revision.

W. Germany

cannot agree with Perm. we should
prepare more specific - detailed list
of subjects. Perm. should not cover all
these issues. Perm's approach covers
least 1 previous debate instead of
current issues. we really to G. H. - EEC.

Question : Order: SPT is only a basis of discussion
 Everything is open. No one veto power
 solution for all states. Does not meet
 needs of landlocked states. does
 not offer for share in common heritage
 but selection of some issues is necessary,
 so by a one keeps interrelated - it must
 Composite - power - Council
 " " " Assembly

Details of Enterprise
 distribution - benefit
 distribution settlement.

mutual accommodation & power balance
 all groups a basis of the Convention.

Piper : CoChairman should make agenda and
 submit it to the for approval. we are
 fully behind,

Canada : Nigeria not a bad idea. but some reflection on

in order:

As process says: need text & format.

the part of procedure is free of discussion,

as in agreement. Other areas are more

basic and imply principles. we don't

really find that much content below 4.1 - 7.7.

Let's look at Text: first 21 article represents

"Motherhood". we really don't start on

22. all aspects of Exploitation. we

don't proceed in sequence. but we cannot

set different items and schedules.

Summary : suggest Plan: (1) basic system of exploitation
status of European
financial cooperation

(2) structure of Council

admits freely attributable. for days & one
for day, 2 etc.

but let's get started immediately.

Equade:

before document procedure to subordinate
to have int account to have 7 elements
2 decision of plenary:

(1) all topics are much document

(2) all time necessary must

to put in disposal of regional groups.

It is not a question of discussing issues not
yet document - filling gaps in annexes
or documents first Council.

practical method:

77 was really
practical. Certainly, however: technically of
not important when we share.

Not for practical reason, 77 suggested
Annexes, etc. of practical reason.

Because of the structure in system of
explains, Group would need time
1 night before.

The technical group can be observed
later.

As must invest a many members

of regional groups, members of neoplasm.

France for their response to meeting.
Lipiec - Equally suggests that.

US is not prepared to go ahead w/ Council
not for a week. Therefore give all
time 1 1 77! !!!

agreement could be reached

(1) however Thursday morning working document

Rome

Sat After.

Thursday After - Friday was free for
many meetings.

Stoke's explanation. The Council
advance so that Monday morning 6 issues
be taken up. sup. time of many meetings &
keep up in discussion eg. meeting many, groups
afternoon.

Council of late \ oo helps - 1

20 1

This suggest. does our Committee fully support,
you'll continue in reply, has not some Council please.

Dr. Langer: agree.

isn't part of exploitat. or transfer? is it a preper?

Chen let's go up 22

Pers: your compromise = sell out to one nation. Make
to disorderly discussion.

He suggest: give us $2\frac{1}{2}$ more days. = rest of this
week. we want concentrated & Exploitation. &
we can start on Monday. In a meantime U.S.
to work on Council. But the we can go on
in a orderly way. Because Council - Assembly cannot
be dependent.

US we have an up.
What about remainder of list?

Chen: we want to get shorter.

Jagels: he has been cancelled....

US. Let's go on

Brazil Pine Hill Monday

Brazil protect the interests of U.S.

Brazil division of 77 are principal;
" as procedure are wasteful

U.K. Support U.S.

Pruders " Brazil, Brazil.

U.S. we are off on a long road.

we must negotiate.

we have done our homework on differences

before. we are not going to accept

dictates of 77. we have obligation to negotiate

state a procedure on this issue.

It is item very general we will have

very seriously debate. we won't be able

to prepare adequately.

I propose: next 2 1/2 days for group preparation

has before this; we agree on main headings and

this heading: main theme.

Monday.

Pruders morning: we review procedure.

What do we do on Monday? or would we like
to change paper.

Mexico: Let's settle what we do on Monday,
has 1 am against meeting on Tuesday.

Algeria: probably against the file handle.

Tanzania: kids - seek justice is lamentable.

has to ask the law to commit ourselves
to commit ourselves on debated items.

USSR: of course is request request of time.

although we are sorry that 5 meetings will
be eliminated from our working time.

U.S. explain

Mexico:

Chen: here they adjourned

but Monday: on Exploratory

Art. 22 and related provisions ✓

Annex 1

Review a procedure only if necessary.

Colin Lee,
Aug. 25

26 members - neg. group

Australia,

Canada

— Canada

— Czechoslovakia

— Denmark

France

DDR

DDR

— Ghana

— Indonesia

— Israel

Italy

— Jamaica

Japan

Mexico

— Norway

Poland

— Portugal

Senegal

— Sri Lanka

— Tanzania

Tunisia

USSR

UK

USA

Zambia

The composition of the informal
for 6 ad hoc basis x ✓ previous
C-9 W x (2) ✓ U 26 28
Pers A et off 28 29 x
(3) ✓ 30 31 — perhaps
in negotiations x Changes are
possible, just improve Chairman x

Aug. 25, 1976

P.H. Nephthys proxy.

De Joke, answering Boxer's question.

Premises there is a applicant

he want a contract

he is competent

There is no obstacle under Annex I.

There is only one reason a Authority can reject, and
that is, that Authority is not satisfied with results of
negotiations.

Certainly, there is no presumption, but if Authority
is obliged anyway, there can be no negotiation.

Spt. 14
A.H.

I

Claims

not divide internal area. Dec. of Principles
activities must be coordinated with Authority
Authority may carry out activities through intermediaries
in the determine. The decision-making power
supreme. Demand of super power must be rejected.
prominent discussion of details before principles
are settled, or not conclusion to prepare.
Best view we have about the principle.
Discussion must be open; all must participate.

Equation

We were opposed to the premature version.
in interventionist west. This is cause of failure.
Let's not repeat this mistake. But 77
between really hard.

U.S. filibustered:

[good recapitulation of all the American
filibuster: request for the resolution documents.

Insistence on "automaticity" etc.]
The just to rectify the present...

political decisions are needed.

USSR

we have a compromise, keep a minor C.H. and
need of poor countries. We suggest them.

We agree to a lot of things in 77 and to Capital
we propose. In relation we expect 1 billion
of guaranteed right on the basis of Outlook
and to Outlook of the At North. For our

social structure, exclusive Outlook of North
is not acceptable. For political reason we cannot
agree to Technicalities. Outcome to change of
policy, between states and companies.

We cannot suggest a system based on
Competition and profit.

North ^{new} must rely on State participation
monopolized by some countries and companies must
be prevented.

We appreciate work of 77, but this paper
is not acceptable as a compromise. It gives every
action to companies, but depends on states. Achievement
of compromise seems to depend on basis of
parallel system.

We would never believe that system that
characterizes as one single minority.

Convention is only acceptable here.

Ally for now does not achieve what
we expect, it does same thing: basis of

Aug. 26

I

is more less, common reference
to 1 (xiii) is not fruitful, may be prejudicial.

boards: when provisions or relations & make many
pages of Authority, a problem change.

Qualitative problem should be looked in a clear list.
Clear and exhaustive list of qualifications

Vindication: you have to learn some directions &
Authority. RSPT and 77 are all extra M.

Qualification of applicants, and conditions and what
output must be expected, should be specified.

Confusion be taken place.

It is A. must accept 8 bis & iv if any of them are

22 remain whether any of RSPT basically

Remain 2 is. But be to be a context of

high articles.

USSR depend to "on equal footing!"

but industrial per company

Zank's Common Heritage

Industrial

we accept emergency - but not as excuse
of all other operations.

between / concentration & procedure:

near as political, cannot be spiritless away by technical
division.

But this is not a helpful statement. Charges of
reference to this should not be allowed to distract us
from technical difficulties. Solution of technical
problem may be condition of solution of political
problems.

we must find compromise,
proceed from general to particular
way my package.

we've only talked about "access"
but not about the Budgetary, Finance, Group
of technology. Financial relations between operators -
Austria.

Issue of access cannot be discussed -
this vacuum.

Ag. 30.

Question has not been dealt with:

whether Authority have included, and
determined whether and when to exploit.
or whether it simply waits for applications. This
is crucial, for it affects.

But relevance of para 78 could carry
out anyway - if there are applications, it can be taken
on whether.

Checks:

any applications: developing and landlocked nations
must be taken into particular consideration?

Clause: state previous must be provided - Para 8.

state qualification. It must also be provided

that Authority is expert contract. when

that there is an ambiguity of contract

which is unacceptable. As Finance states,

condition later cannot be exhaustive and
rigid. Authority must have some discretionary
power.

Vindication: Authority, as an arb, will apply to rules and
regulations of the Contract - and have sufficient
discretionary power to interpret the rule - reg.

Dy. 30

- 2 -

Exceptions: Author to judge whether article applies
for a particular to particular requirement -
limitations.

It need express discretionary power, but no more
than that.

Problem of ambiguity is a
sharper problem in para 8. It is enough to
clarify purpose of negotiation. We must find
terminology between "on the terms of a contract"
and "to conclude a contract". Perhaps "to
conclude a contract on terms compatible with
all the terms and regulations as interpreted by
the Authority."

Poland, add to count "lost

"wider possible participation in
activities in the area." Authority to
be outside that to grant contracts.

USSR. add, on the very top of to list

"non-discriminatory participation of all social
and economic systems." This is a crucial
 criterion in considering applications.

There can be no discrimination
among states. This would be against
developing states. Criteria cannot be applied
to state. Communist system is
intolerable. We have abolition of by age.

11 SEP.

has 12 parallel systems:

1) 12 Autobots and Shale, are
divided equally

2) Shale parties spread a to have a control

✓ Included on unit have a 1 share participate
to all shale. Shale also in control, and spread
large shale or petrol companies

3) Autobot spread large shale control or petrol
ventures.

1. Allowed party
2. Claims on Chairman's right
3. will report
4. former meeting picks
5. from audience are well noted consideration
by heretofore itself.
6. former meeting very brief.

Aug 31, 1976

I

Para 8 bis (a)

77 are in favor of Competitive bidding
U.S. and U.K. are against it.

It will discourage prospecting.

of own prospecting has been put on,
has not yet respect to some area.

Lybie if we exchange exploration of own territory in
the interest of 5 or 6 states

Canada makes very good statement.

But prospect is not devoid of
of mineral development. Then there is an
adequate incentive to insure that the area
will respect to prospecting.

→ Robinson: send logs, claims, shirley, Jayle, Sondal,
Sweden

Depends on neg. gr.

8 meetings Aug 25 - Sept 1. report is

form of reference: style of E+ E+ on Apr 22-23

1 begins, suggest 3 or 4 conditions

conclusion - condition 1 broken.

Group first discussion Para 7-8...

particular \rightarrow identify particularities

- suggest additional items.

Collegial conditions

as determined by 4 members

Norman: suggestions on Part 22.

I

Sept. 8

Perm: clean everything -
suspend under
But C. has made
its political decisions

USSR. Support 77

the most serious to the
first Committee. ~~to~~
Seabed Tribunal
irrefragable.

Special procedures must
remain. This is essential
we must secure Tribunal
in Paris and Seabed M. I.

Assembly - Council

Perm: in light of new time table
Circumstances it is not
worth while to put it
subject.

W.S. He wishes to prepare to
put forward a proposal at
work shop level, but we
agree with Perm that there
won't really any time

2

part I. Brent Test. acceptable
le m.

Guid's agree on Pers

USA: guide is much simpler

Sum-up: guide is kept up to find C.
no time for time & day the same. I
Please always use here & there.

}

RST are 27 ms

acceptable to us,

our own proposals are

longer acceptable to us.

It seems a very difficult.

Our own proposals would not

protect our own interests.

We'd like to find a way to

avoid the problem of a

delegation.

4

USSR we don't need a
symbolic or hureade
down on the table, we
need a prepared document—
next time. Luckily we have some
time to think things over.
We can give copies of an or
prepared & also delegates.

Restoration of Our Fidele

by abolition of the
negotiable machinery

5

This is the real program, even if
there is no program or it best
before he has set for next session.

II
Agua Key 1888

EEZ

LL

prop. / shear layer 100

def. of outer edge

4 more pages of 30 shale, region of area

2nd Committee

Legal status of EEZ

Alejo:

Yugoslav's: Other rights and duties provided for
(Art. 44, 46) and vague phrases should
be the focus of our discussion.

Language suggests further
contributions.

As the 6th hour! redraft 47
we agree on.

Contributions from should be dropped,
discussion to resume.

Chairman: Let's deal with the 11 States not referred
to by name.

Island; do appear that GDS not mentioned in
articles. GDS document ^{at} GDS. L. 12.

para 10, Art. 18 - At first committee, This Committee
(Art 66.) mention GDS.; para 9 77.

Working document of judicial experts;

of Geneva & definition of GDS would be
essential. Para 77 paragraph of Geneva.
and L. GDS in New York. Definition almost
identical.

Some developed GDS are in point situation.

Chairman - GDS do not appear as against of
Conference.

Gelund Pohl made no reference

to GDS - I have no mandate to change it.
Am a controversial item; lack of definition
of this concept. I shall continue with
the text officially: not to deny their right
to call themselves that -

Let us have philosophical debate on whether
GDS exist or not, but let us examine what they
have and what all states agree.

Zambia

German Democratic Republic

Coastal State right may be precisely

defined:

Ann. 50-51 - seems that there is
improvement in German view. Increase
of "scientific" basis evidence - any

Change: very disappointing.

We have many objections, but
let's concentrate on 2 problems: 50-51
& improvement: 0) 50: deals with E. resource.
What importance must we give?

International Organization & deliver

allowable catch. (2) better balance
between interests of Coastal States - other
states, but requires a fishery operation.

4
to make things easier, and an amendment:

✓ > respect security | ✓
✓ & remainder > competent
regional - global 2, competent >
representable > — b b > — concern
— > 2 b the first —

art. 51. improvement &

sub para: border state > L peace

L regular fishing fleet of peace fisheries.

(a) May (K) of (H) should \ deletion.

Art. 58, we support Buchenard helps
proposal. They are balancing proposals.

I

Yankee

importance of lesson

global aspects of the Committee's work.

but not only, but entire
international community.

Old Korea of Rep. are also, to be

but we have to prepare a, international
arrangement.

of our time, early during this Conference,

and under the Committee. Today it is not.

it is just now, the whole of it and unprepared
agreed in the effort of U.N.

we have to deal with the set of problems

① procedural

②

substantive procedural problem,

issue, issue, problem, point to

to be considered. to be considered

Part III is really - useful here
of Convention

Conference; everybody has to be reasonably
unhappy.

Don't forget basic issues!

Suggestion: Let's introduce some new
elements into proceedings. Chairman
himself will be more active. He
tells us - Vellack!

Key issue of full involvement
of old Committee. Planning and
strategy

Coordinate - Committee but
also Committee, Sub-committee

9 July old Committee

(2) Priority 1 means second for second
see L. 12

All new issues not to be understood
discuss - goal of regime

scientific record

(8) . vol. 60, 61, 62, and related articles
65-66

(9) . many drawings

1 vol 21, and 20 of part II

Key items must not preponderate

pollute standards to have local use

(10) and EE2, but by issues

scientific record is crucially important

fair and reasonably known to

(11) through 9. knowledge.

(12) medium-sized implement articles

institutions and arrangements,

Article 3 has most "applicable" references

to "Competent institution."

finally: the Committee on the
Committee has been authorized.

Yalla: short importance of institutional
aspect.

Stely

Malaya: emphasis is on foreign ships,
equal emphasis should be placed on
Coastal state responsibilities.

Spec: Some reservation.

procedural: perhaps it would be useful
to say "Article 1" "Hollander".

No small group. Report of must be
open.

Grade: 2 kinds of key issues

(1) on which Conference has formed

(2) & that it Conclude my faith.

— no sound basis

open key issue.

expression of individualism standard by
part state. Central state and playstate.

status of localities

secondary transfer