Community wide consequences of declines in large predatory fishes

Travis Shepherd Post-doctoral fellow Dalhousie University

What to expect

- Changes in elasmobranch populations in the Gulf of Mexico and eastern US
- How has removal of top predatory fish affected associated marine communities?

Global decline of large predators



Myers and Worm, 2003 Nature

- 90% decline in large predatory fishes since 1950
- Tuna, blue marlins, swordfish, sharks
- Erosion of diversity
- Ecosystem stability
- Trophic cascades

Gulf of Mexico

- Historically, location of intensive longline fisheries
- Very intensive nearshore shrimp trawl fishery
- Area of a number of conservation concerns
 - Declines in commercially important fishes
 - High by-catch rates in shrimp fishery
 - Virtual loss of Oceanic whitetip sharks

- Circumstantial evidence of oceanic whitetip sharks being common in the Gulf of Mexico
- Catch records show 300-fold decline since 1950s





Loss of sharks from Gulf of Mexico



Oceanic whitetip catches per 10,000 hooks

1 Caribbean **Gulf of Mexico** 3 Florida

- 4 S Atlantic Bight
- 5 Mid Atlantic Bight
- 6 NE Coastal
- 7 NE Distant
- 8 Sargasso
- 9 S America



IUCN listed large sharks occurring in the Gulf of Mexico



Oceanic whitetip



Sandtiger







Great white



Dusky



Bull shark



Scalloped hammerhead



Tiger shark



- Shrimp trawl survey data examined (1972-2004)
- Generalized linear models

Gulf of Mexico SEAMAP survey



Shepherd and Myers, Ecology Letters 2005



Shepherd and Myers, Ecology Letters 2005

Shallow species are declining Deep species are increasing



General patterns in Gulf of Mexico

- Some small elasmobranchs increased, some decreased
- No pattern with body size or age at maturity
- Deep water species tended to increase, shallow water species tended to decrease
- Shallow water species exposed to more commercial shrimp trawl effort







Shepherd and Myers, Ecology Letters 2005



- Very intensive effort near shore
- High by-catch rates
- Some areas swept 37–75 times per year
- Entire fishable area is swept 2.55 times per year."

Gulf of Mexico

- Interacting effects on small elasmobranch community
- Deep water species increased
 Predation release
- Shallow water species decreased
 - Shrimp trawl bycatch
 - Conservation priority?
- Conservation of large sharks in the area important to maintain biodiversity and community structure

Mid-Atlantic dusky sharks

- Access to longest known longline survey targeting sharks arose
- Continuous bi-monthy samples at two fixed sites since 1972
- Coastal North Carolina
- Used, along with trawl survey data, to access changes in abundance of dusky sharks

Dusky shark

- Very slow to mature
 19-21 years
- Low reproductive output
 8-10 pups every 2-3 years
- "Species of Special Concern" NMFS
- Highly vulnerable to overfishing









- a. Northern Gulf of Mexico bottom shrimp trawl survey
- b. NMFS offshore bottom trawl survey
- c. NMFS inshore bottom trawl survey
- d. Southeast U.S. SEAMAP bottom shrimp trawl survey
- e. North Carolina Institute of Marine Sciences longline survey
- f. Crooke commericial longline data
- μ. Meta-analytic mean

Dusky shark summary

- Declined over 99% since 1970
- Average weight declined by 40% since 1972
- Management measures do not appear effective
 - Pre-1993: decline of 13.3% per year
 - Post-1993: decline of 13.9% per year
- Immediate action is needed throughout the population's range

Northwest Atlantic

- Dusky sharks have undergone great declines
- Other large sharks known to have declined since the 1980s
- Likely longer term declines and possible community wide effects



Baum et al, 2003 Science



6 NE Coastal

- 7 NE Distant
- 8 Sargasso
- 9 S America



Data sources

- Logbook and observer reports
- 13 bottom-trawl surveys
- 2 seine surveys
- 1 longline survey
- 1 scallop survey
- NMFS and FAO shellfish landings data
- Generalized linear models for trends
- Random-effect meta-analysis within species



Baum et al, 2003 Science



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science

Meso-predators



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science

Cownose ray trends



Relative abundance

Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science

Cownose rays have a large impact on the ecosystem

- Over 40 million cownose rays now inhabit Chesapeake Bay
- Each ray eats around ~210g shell-free wet weight a day
- They are in the Bay around 100 days
- This is around 840,000 metric tons (wet flesh).
- The 2003 commercial harvest of these mollusks in Chesapeake Bay totaled only 300 metric tons
- Cownose rays eat over 2,500 times greater than the commercial harvests.

Hammerhead eating stingray



B

STATES TALADADA MILLING

C



Α





GREAT HAMMERHEAD SHARK PREDATION UPON SPOTTED EAGLE RAY

Photo by Demian Chapman D. D. Chapman and S. H. Gruber, 2002 Bull. of Mar. Sci. 70: 947–952

Declines in Cownose Ray Predators

Shortfin mako 54% (since 1986)



Blacktip 72%

Sandbar 91%

Great hammerhead > 99%

Bull > 99%

Dusky > 99%











Increased by 20x



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science

North Carolina experimental field work



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science

Loss of Bay Scallops



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science

When the cownose rays come by, the bay scallops die



Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Peterson and Powers, in press, Science





Shark – Ray – Scallop trophic cascade

- Previously undocumented ecosystem transformation
- Loss of great sharks has changed community structure
- Indirect consequences of eliminating large predators carry risk of broader marine ecosystem degradation
- Recognition is a big step towards more effective ecosystem-based management and sustainable exploitation

Current work

- Declines in pelagic predatory fishes
- What effect is this having on their prey?
- Meso-pelagic fishes

 NMFS, DFO, CALCOFI, SEAMAP



ZENOPSIS CONCHIFERA BUCKLER DORY





ARCTOZENUS RISSOI WHITE BARRACUDINA











Argyropelecus affinis







Changes since 1963 in meso-pelagic fishes (Eastern US – NEFSC data)



Summary

- Exploitation on any one species or group of common species will have communitywide effects
- Indirect effects between trophic levels appear to be strong
- Reference points for large predatory fishes may need to be conservative
- More assessment effort for rare, commercially unimportant species

Acknowledgments

- Supervisor: Ransom Myers
- Co-Authors: Julia Baum (DAL), Pete Peterson (Univ. of North Carolina), Sean Powers (Dauphin Island Sea Lab)
- NMFS Woods Hole & Pascagoula Labs, DFO
- State Agencies: Massachusetts, Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, North Carolina, Louisiana, Mississippi
- Universities: Univ. of Rhode Island, Univ. of New Orleans, Virginia Institute of Marine Science

