Dear Mrs. Macleod.

Thank you for sending me Robert Weaver's review of my book in the Toronto Star. I hadn't seen it but I'm not surprised. The most acid critics are frustrated writers themselves, and I understand that Weaver has earned his living as a CBC hack for years, mostly in the Talks and Public Affairs Department, and that he takes out his literary frustrations in little magazine catering to the arty-arty types.

The "Literary History of Canada" from which he quotes was put out last year by a group of lofty academic critics. J.B.McGeachy said of it: "Its compilers are unsure whicher there is any literature to write about. Luckily they have no qualma about the quality of their own work ... It is sad that Canadian literature is so second rate, but what a mercy it is that we have such clever critics to tell us so."

I don't subscribe to any of the clipping agencies, although some reviews come my way. I found long age that I could bear the paper darts of the Meaver type very well. So can any author who has won enough acceptance by the public to live entirely by his pen, and in the competition of the open market, not in some salaried side-alley.

Nevil Shute put it best, I think. "Most reviewers are unsuccessful practitioners of the art of creative writing, or they would not be interested in the meagre fees they get for writing about other people's books, and in part their lack of success may be due to the fact that they have completely misunderstood the character and intelligence of the reading public. Young authors should accept the embittered fulminations of reviewers with the greatest reserve. From the nature of their employment these people are quite unlikely to know what they are talking about."

Edith joins me in good wishes to you and yours.

Sincerely.

9H.P

Mrs. George D. Macleod, 165 St.Germaine Avenue, Toronto 12, Ontario. Mrs. George D. Macleod, 165 St. Germaine Avenue, Toronto 12, Ontario.

Formto Har, May 21, 1966

Mr. Raddall's so conventional

HANGMAN'S BEACH: Thomas H. Raddall. Doubleday. 422 pages. \$5.95.

Thomas Raddall's new book is a conventional historical novel, crafted from oak, and a typical example of the kind of fiction that Mr. Raddall has been writing since his first success with "His Majesty's Yankees" in 1942.

The novel takes place in Halifax at the time of the Napoleonic wars.

The city is a remote English outpost, a tough seaport where hanged men rot in the gibbets on the beach at the entrance to the harbour, and with a prison camp on its outskirts.

To this prison camp comes a French naval offi-cer, Michel Cocamond, whose dangerous secret is that he was the man who fired the shot that killed Lord Nelson at Trafalgar.

Cascamond is one of the two major characters in "Hangman's Beach" who are fictitious. The others, as Mr. Raddall points out in a brief preface, are "actual people . . . who now belong to history."

The other fictitious character at the centre of the novel is Ellen, ward of Peter McNab, who lives with his family on an island in the harbour to which they gave their name.

It was foredoomed, given the kind of novel this is, that Ellen and the prisoner Cascamond will fall in love, that their love will seemingly be star-crossed, and that finally they will be reunited and escape, as it happens, to an Acadian settlement.

The love affair is the weakest and most unlikely part of "Hangman's Beach." In a note about Thomas Raddall in the "Literary History of Canada" published last year he is described as a writer whose "principal weakness his inability to penetrate deeply into the psychology of his characters." This is a painfully obvious defect in the new novel, where it's difficult to distinguish in quality between the imagined characters (Ellen and Cascamond) and those who

lie and inert on the page. But the "Literary Histo-" also says of Thomas Raddall, perhaps a trifle ex-travagantly, that "he has

shadowy

actually "belong to history" (like Peter McNab.) Both the people who are real and those who come from the imagination



HANGMAN'S BEACH

A novel crafted from oak absorbed the history, land-scape, manners and accent of his region with a completeness that amounts to possession." Raddall was born in England but came to Nova Scotia as a child, and has lived there ever since. He has been writing about the province for a quarter of a century: in short stories, in a number of historical novels, in an



THOMAS H. BADDALL Historical novelist

ambitious but not very successful novel placed nearer to our own time, "The Nymph and the Lamp," and in a major historical study of the city of Halifax. The best

qualities of "Hangman's Beach" come from this long identification with Halifax and the Province of Nova Scotia. Mr. Raddall writes in his preface that the background of the novel "is the result of long and diligent research and of personal observation on the ground and the sea." and we believe him.

The portrait that Thomas Raddall draws of Halifax and the province at the beginning of the 19th century is an interesting one.

Halifax itself is a busy garrison and commercial town with the threat of a French attack hanging over it and somewhere on the horizon the Americans pondering intervention in the war that began in Europe.

It's a crude, lively and self-possessed town. It has its blind folk singer for public occasions (there is a blind folk singer in one of Mr. Raddall's early short stories).

Up country is wild, empty and beautiful. And when Michel Cascamond escapes for a time from the city he finds himself in difficulty in the great tides of the Bay of Fundy. Somewhere to Acadians cling to survival.

The descriptions in "Hangman's Beach" of the place, the way of life, and of the seasons and the land itself are conservative but solid as oak. The people in the novel are stamped on

Thomas Raddall's novel is one of his better historical romances, but it is not intrinsically as interesting as his first novel "His Majesty's Yankees," and it is certainly a lesser book than his non-fiction study "Halifax, Warden of the North.'

It's sad to say it now, but popular history, and not the novel, was probably Mr. Raddall's genre from the beginning.

-ROBERT WEAVER

COLUMN 10 OF M. See: These of the seed of

William Friday, May 23, 196, Martio

William Friday, May 23, 196, Martin

William Friday, May 23, 196, Martin

William Friday, May 23, 196, Martin

William Friday, May 24, 196, Martin

William Friday, May 24, 196, Martin

William Friday, May 24, 196, Martin

William Friday, May 25, 196, Warrin

William Friday, May 25, 196, Warrin

William Friday, May 26, 196, Martin

William Fri

FAIRLEY—In Joving memory of Hugh S. Fairley, who passed away May 22, 1993. In our hearts you will always stay. Loved, and remembered every day. —Wife and Family.

—Wite and Family.

FOSTER—In loving memory of my deer husband. Albert, who passed away it is said to wisk the road along the said to be a lost the said of saids by aids.

Instead of slide by aids.

When the ways of life divide; You gave me years of happiness.

You gave me years of happiness.

But you let memories I will treasure through the years.

—Deeply missed by wite Aller.

—Deeply missed by wite Alica.

POSTER—In loving memory of a dear father. Albert, who passed away 21, 1960.

Although we smile and make no fists. And when old times we off recall. That's when we miss him most of all.

That's when we miss him most of all.

Sadly missed and were remembered by his Daughters, Sonsin-lew and GrandGaughters.

FRASER—In loving memory of a dear father, Charles A. Fraser, who passed away May 23, 1965.
Gone but not forgotten.
—Remembered by Jean, Frank and children. GARNER—Cherished memories of a very dear husband Arthur Garner who passed away May 21, 1955 Shared remembrances linger in the lonely hours. —Forever missed, Jean.

HADDLETON—In loving memory of a dear brother Bill who passed away May 21, 1951. Those whom we love go out of sight, But never out of mind; They are cherished in the hearts Of those they leave behind. —Lovingly remembered by sister Evelyn and brother-in-law Tom and Familyn and Brother-in-law Tom and Brother-in-law Tom

Funeral Directors

Washington & Johnston FUNERAL directors, 717 Queen St. E. at Broadview. HO. 5-3577.

it was emphosising exploiting & encouraging the sex July 31, 1969 situation & soid so But I don't want you to think that I'm a kerson hear Ar. Tom. I would like to keep who scolds. Becouse ? try not to. It is a very easy up a nice Ceisurely corr. habit to slip into - especially espondence with you for older people. and I'm not sure Springs books now show who swes who now. Do you get the Bloke + to review Margaret mail? In this nornings exition there was My garden has claimed three an article about a heis Dictionary which now hope Imported to be able to know it to be is to come out in lest and evidently there was quite a planned discussion on the use of some words in it by a group of men of great erudit wanted to abolish the use of the word "whom" - so I'm following his advice in my first sentence! What are you doing now? Critisy? Pelaxing? Planning future book? I haven't read your last book yet - so don't get too has ahead of me! a little beyond our Strength. I'm glad that we have the health to do it but I am looking forward to the time when things won't be so otrenious. I remember in one of my lorner letters to you that? soid conething disparaging about the Proglam Lote Cherte

Mrs. Frances MacLeod, 165 St. Germaine, Toronto 12, Canada.

My dear Mrs. Frances:

I have just been re-reading your pleasant note of July 31st, with its news of George and yourself and family. You and he are still working too hard, it seems to me.

For myself I can say that I am living a relaxed life at last, although I have plenty of things to occupy my time. Long ago, when I was a young and struggling novice, I saw famous writers of an older generation (like Charles Roberts and his brother Theodore) go on writing long after they should have stopped, and turning out poor and poorer stuff. Every bit of this took something away from their reputations, and the new generation (without into bothering to look up their earlier and best work) wondered what on earth their fathers and mothers had seen in them. I resolved never to make the same error, and that when I had written all the tales and themes that interested and excited me (so that I could interest and excite other people about them) I would draw the line and say, in effect, "That's it!"

I've reached that time now. although publishers are urging me to write this and that. I shall never write another novel. I may gather another collection of true short stories about Nova Scotia, like those in my last book ("Footsteps On Old Floors") and perhaps a bit of straight history, but nothing that depends on a creative imagination as all fiction does. My own fiction was drawn from my own experiences in life, and the observations I drew from them. beginning with the time when I went to sea as a boy of fifteen. My years at sea and on lonely coastal wireless stations, then the years exploring the forest of the Mersey watershed, digging in the sites of ancient Indian camps, and talking to the surviving Michaes about their language and legends, and the residence in Halifax and Liverpool. digging in the records of the past, all gave me characters and themes that I could put on paper because I saw them clearly with what I can only call an informed imagination. And all that is done. To go on with the same sort of thing, without the mental chain-reaction that comes from a younger mind playing on comparatively new experiences, would be merely hack work.

I'm now at an age when the National Library, and universities in Canada and the United States, are asking me to domate all my manuscripts, diaries, notes and papers. I haven't decided yet, but I think they should include, for the help of any future students of Canadian literature, my own account of my life and interests, from which I drew the material of my work, (If students are sufficiently curious, they can check the auto-biography against the diaries and papers.)

Last month Saint Mary's University at Halifax invited me to address their Fall convocation, and presented me with an honorary Litt. D. to add to the string of letters after my name. When I look at them, and reflect that I left the old Halifax Academy at the term end of Grade Nine and never got back to school, the whole thing EMERCH seems unreal — as I suppose a bird might regard the wagging tail on a very plain kite.

The affair at St. Marys produced a Raddall reunion that was quite remarkable in itself. Francis and her husband came from Monoton to attend the ceremony with their four youngsters, and Tom and Pam came up from Liverpool with two of their three, and two of my sisters were able to attend. I daresay the kids will remember the occasion chiefly because Grandpa were a strange gown and a very funny hat.

We've had some frosts here and one mowfall in October, which disappeared in subsequent rains. Yesterday I was playing golf alone at White Point, under a typical grey November sky, with a sea wind blowing half a gale, and great surf roaring on the beach.

For years I we had attacks of lumbago, and more recently a permanent arthritis in my right hip; but I keep going, alboit it with a limp and a bit of a stoop. Midth has been blessed with an apparenth immunity to that sort of thing until this Fall, and she is now taking treatment for rheumatic pains in hims her arms and legs. Nevertheless she still gets about, and otherwise has no ills.

I gave up hunting and fishing years ago, but last week I spent a couple of days with some friends who were after deer at Eagle Lake, one of my favourite haunts in time past. They stayed a whole week themselves, and didn't see a deer at all. I got a chuckle out of telling them they'd been too far back in the woods — our golf pro. at White Point had shot a fine buck just a few yards from No. 5 green, in the scrub woods there.

And that, as they say on TV, wraps up the local news from Liverpool as seen by your local correspondent.

Edith joins me in best wishes.

Sincerely.